

Involvement of Local People in Conservation and Management of Kainji Lake National Park, Niger State

¹ Adeyemi Muhammed Adewole

¹Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Department of Forestry and Wildlife, Awka, Nigeria

Submitted: 01-09-2021	Revised: 09-09-2021	Accepted: 12-09-2021

ABSTRACT

The control of forest reserves was under local communities before the creation of national park and game reserve in Nigeria. The interest of local people seems not to be well taken of in the planning, decision making and sharing of forest benefit after the federal government began to control the park in the country. Consequently poaching, encroaching and other illegal activities like hunting, farming, fishing etc are increasing at an alarming rate in conservation area This research work therefore examined the concept of national park and the role that local people can play in its conservation and management. The study was carried out in Kainji Lake National Park. Data collected include Religion, marital status, family size, sex, level of education, occupation, feeling about the park, medium of information about the park, agreement with conservation, level of sensitization, benefits derived from conservation, willingness to participate, benefit of project to the community, community involvement in park management, relationship between park and community and inter-communal clashes. Results revealed many do not understand the importance of national park in their locality. Many of them are also ignorant of the laws and regulations that guide the Park.

Keywords: Local people, conservation, management, national park, wildlife

I. INTRODUCTION

Wildlife like forestry is a rural based industry which was employed by earlymen as a source of food like bushmeat, medicine, rituals, shelter, clothing, weapon, etc (Idowun et al., 2011). To them, wildlife is indispensable. As a result of this, hunting was a legitimate occupation of rural dwellers in the past (Aremu et al., 2012; Ajayi, 2003). Till today, most rural people hunt to survive. For example, community near forests in Nigeria obtain 84% of their annual protein from bushmeat. Wildlife conservation in Nigeria started formally in 1916 when the Eastern Nigeria Government Game Law was enacted (Falade and Adebanjo, 2008). The theme of this and subsequent game laws enacted by the Western and Northern Regional Government in 1928 and 1963 respectively, was the preservation of the abundance wild animals for posterity. Suddenly, traditional ancient hunting grounds were turned into domestic reserves with permissible hunting rights for domestic purposes. As a consequence 36 former forest reserves were converted into wildlife conservation areas, without mutual agreement with the rural people neither was adequate provision for alternative means of survival for the rural people made (Idowu et al., 2011). By denying the local people access to resources essential for traditional livelihood, normal rural existence becomes impossible without breaking the law (Dow;ing and David, 2003). Hunters and farmers quite literally overnight become poachers and encroachers and as such social protected area. The conservationist are now beginning to realize that national park management that continues to ignore the needs, aspiration, right and involvement of local people cannot hope to survive and this involves the effective participation of local people in decision making, project design and implementation, project monitoring and project evaluation. Therefore a token involvement of local people or community as passive beneficiaries or participation in the plan conceived by the outsider is sufficient guarantee for long term security of the park (Ogunjimi et al., 2012).

Presently, there several national parks in Nigeria namely Kainji Lake National Park (534,084km²) Niger State, Gashaka Cumti National Park, Taraba State (630,300km²), Chad Basin National Park (228,000km²) Cross River National Park (446,225km²) Cross River State, Old Oyo National Park (251,200km²) Kamuku National Park



(120,000km²) Kaduna State, Okomu National Park (11,200km²) Edo State (Ajayi, 2003).

The concept of community participation in National Park is considered along the line of natural resources for the common benefit of individuals who live within and around the park. The nature of magnitude of benefits derivable from park may very most time align with the ecological variation that exists, sustainability of such accruing benefit depend much on an effective legislation for its management. For instance, most people living around Kainji Lake National Park are cattle rearers, farmers, hunters, fishermen; who to a large extent engage in act which have negative impact on park resources conservation, with their insensitivity to park development programme probably due to ignorance they engage in poaching and park encroachment thus diminishing the conservation status of the park (Osemeobo, 1978). However these rural people could still be properly informed through integration, increasing awareness on conservation, benefit of conservation and conservation laws (Ogunjimi and Onadeko, 2009; Meduna and Onadeko, 2002).

Therefore, the objectives of this study are: To know the level of awareness of local people about conservation of natural resources; to appraise local people's perception of conservation of natural resources; to assess benefit derived by the local people from conservation; and to assess the willingness of the local people to participate in the conservation and management of the park.

II.MATERIALS AND METHODS2.1Study area

The study was conducted in Kainji Lake National Park (KLNP). In Nigeria the role of national park in conserving wildlife for various purposes is widely recognized. The fauna constitute only due element of the complex ecosystem which they belong and are not in stable state. The principal purpose of the plan is to provide all available information relevant to the management of the park. It also makes provisions for it regular revision and updating and incorporate timetable for these purposes.

2.2 Location

Kainji Lake National Park extends 80km in an east-west direction and about 60km north-

south. It consists of two sectors, the sectors, the Borgu and the Zaguruma sector. It lies between $90^{0}4^{1}$ to $10^{0}3^{1}$ N and $3^{0}30^{1}$ to $5^{0}50^{1}$ E, covering a total area of 5,340.82(sqkm) Tuna (1983). The Borgu sector covers an area of 3,970.02 (sqkm), covering $10^{0}5^{1}$ N latitude and $4^{0}19^{1}$ E longitude. The Borgu has the Kainji lake on the East while the West is by the republic of Benin. The vegetation of Nigeria consists of forest savannah and montane. The forest zone comprises mangrove forest, rainforest and dry forest southern and northern guinea savannah

2.3 Data collected

The following data were collected from the participants: Religion, marital status, family size, sex, level of education, occupation, feeling about the park, medium of information about the park, agreement with conservation, level of sensitization, benefits derived from conservation, willingness to participate, benefit of project to the community, community involvement in park management, relationship between park and community and inter-communal clashes.

Data were also collected from the staff on department, role, status, education level of participants, sex, age, religion, marital status, state, tribe, nationality and sustainable management. All data were analyzed using SPSS.

TYPE 1: QUESTIONNAIRES FOR VILLAGERS

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Management Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry University of Ibadan

You are kindly requested to give answers to the following questions. This questionnaire is a study instrument. I shall be grateful for your cooperation. All information produced will be treated confidentially for the purpose of this study. Thank you.

Topic: Involvement of local people in conservation and management of Kainji Lake National Park

- (A) Demographic information
- (1) Village/Town:
- (2) District/Sub-Division:
- (3) Religion (a) Christian



- **A.** Demographic information
- 1 Village/Town:-
- 2 District/Sub-Division:-
- 3 Religion:-(a)Christianity (b) Married (c) Others.(Please specify)_____
- 4 Marital status:- (a) single () (b) Married ()
- (c) Widow / widower () (d) Divorced ()
- 5 If married, what is the size of your family?(a) 1-3 () (b) 4-6 ()
- (c) 7-9 () (d) and above ()
- 6 Sex: Male () Female ()
- 7 What is your level of education? (a) No formal education () (b) Primary education () (c) Secondary education () (d) OND / HND / NCE () (e) University ()
- 8 What is your primary occupation? (a) Farming () (b) Fishing () (c) Hunting () (d) Trading () (e) Civil servant () (f) Others (Please specify)_____
- **B.** Local community participation And perception of conservation of Kainji Lake National Park
- C. Are you willing as an individual to participate in Community Based Wildlife Management? Yes () No ()
- D. Do you hunt? Yes () No (). If yes, are you ready to change hunting practices? Yes () No ().
- E. Can you work with other villagers and support zones dwellers to protect wildlife through Community Based Management? Yes () No ()
- F. Would you say that people from this village are willing to work together CBM to ensure sustainable wildlife? Yes () No()
- G. Have you ever been involved or participated in CBWM in this village? Yes () No ()
- **H.** Do humans have any control over the wildlife? Yes () No()
- I. Should wildlife management be done by government only? Yes () No ()
- J. Should the community people be involved in wildlife management? Yes () No ()
- K. How do you think wildlife resources can be protected and managed sustainably?, L.
- 1 What is your feeling about the presence of the National Park in your area?
- 2 How did you hear about the park? (a) Over radio (b) TVC (c) Newspapers (d) Posters (e) Others
- 3 Do you agree with the idea of plant and animal resources conservation in the park? Yes () No ()
- 4 If yes, what level do you want desensitization to go (a) Individuals () (b) Families () (c) villages () (d) Government ()
- 5 Do you derive any benefit from the conservation of the area? Yes () or No ()
- 6 If Yes please list the benefits_____
- 7 Do you willing participate in the conservation of the park? Yes() No () Give reasons
- 8 Are you willing to keep to the law of conservation of the area or you are forced? (a) Willing () (b) By force ()
- 9 Is there any benefit that the project has brought to the community? Yes () No ()
- 10 If yes please list some_
- 11 What is the present level of involvement of your community in the management of the park? (a) planning () (b) Decision making () (c) park protection () (d) benefit sharing () others (please specify)_____
- 12 What kind of relationship exists between the park management and the communities? (a) cordial () (b) friendly () (c) casuals () (d) hostile ()
- 13 How best do you think the community can benefit in the management of the park?
- Are you aware of any inter communal clash within the conservation area? Yes () No ()
- 15 If yes, please suggest possible solution to this._



i. Do you derive any benefits for staying close to the park? If Yes, mention some of the benefits:,,	
,	·····,
OFFICIALfollowDepartment of Wildlife and Fisheries Management.instrumFaculty of Agriculture and ForestryAll	re kindly requested to give answers to the ing questions. This questionnaire is a study nent. I shall be grateful for your cooperation. nformation produced will be treated entially for the purpose of this study. Thank
1. What is your department?	
2. What is your function in this department?	
3. What is your statue in the park management? (a) Temporar	ry staff () (b) Permanent staff ()
4. What is your level of education? (a) primary school certifi	cate () (b) 'O' level () (c) 'A' level () (d)
first degree () (e) masters () (f) PhD ()	
5. Sex : (a) Male () (b) Female ()	
6. Age : (a) 15-25 years () (b) 26-30 years () (c) 31-40 ye	ears ()
(d) 41-50 years () (e) 51 years and above ()	
7. What is your Religion? (a) Christianity () (b) Islam ()	
(c) Others (please specify)	
8. Marital status (a) single () (b) married ()	
(c) widow/ widower () (d) di	
 9. What is your State of Origin? 10. What are your tribe and your traditional language? 	
11. What National language are you most familiar with? (a) H	$\overline{Franch}()$ (b) English () (c) others (please
specify)	Tenen () (b) English () (c) others (please
12. Kanji Lake National park is divided into ranges,	which of the ranges are you familiar
with?	and the second sec
13. Do you think communities should be involved in conserva	tion and management? Yes () No ()
14. If yes, please give reasons	
15. If no, please give reason	
16.	
17. Do you consider the park as being sustainably managed? Y	
18. Are the local people involved in the management of the pa	rk? Yes () No ()
19. If yes, when did you start introducing the idea of local pe	cople participation in conservation of natural
resources of the park?	
20. What type of participation has been carried	l out in the village where you
work?	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
21. What is the major problem faced by establishment (a) poa	ching () (b) infrastructural ()
(c) government () (d) finance ()	
(e) others (please specify)	
22. What measure do you recommend for the government f	for proper conservation and management of
wildlife resources?	
22 What stop do you think	
23. What step do you think government should take to in	ivolve local people in management of the
park?	
24. What are necessary materials park will need for effective	involvement of local people in management
and conservation of the park?	more the second of the people in management



III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The relationship between religion and local people involvement in park conservation and management is significant (X^2 cal.> X^2 tab.; P<0.05)

is showed in table 1. Results revealed that involvement of local people in conservation and management of the park depends on their religions.

Table 1. The relationshi	n haturaan naliaian	and Local ma	and involvement	in moult conconviction on	dmonogeneert
Table 1: The relationshi	n nerween renyion	and Local be	obie involvemeni i	п рагк сопѕегуанон ан	а тапауетен
ruore in rue returnomoni	p o con con rongion	and Boear pe	opie mitoriemente	n pan conser anon an	a management

	Calculated	Tabulated	Decision
X ²	25.805	3.841	Reject H _o
DF		1	

P<0.05

The relationship between marital status and local people involvement in park management is significant (X^2 cal.> X^2 tab.; P<0.05) is shown in

table 2. This implies that the involvement of local people in park conservation and management depends on their marital status.

Table 2: The relationship between marital status and Local people involvement in park conservation and

Management Calculated Tabulated Decision				
	X ²	20.000	3.841	Reject H _o
	DF		1	

P<0.05

Table 3 shows the relationship between Family size and local people involvement in park conservation and management is significant (P<0.05). This implies that the involvement of local people in park conservation and management depends on their family size.

T 11 2 D 1 (. 1'	1 4 6 1	· · · · 1 T · · 1	1 1	
I able 5: Relationshi	b between famil	v size and Local	people involvement in	park conservation and management
		J		

	•	Calculated	Tabulated	Decision
X^2		32.375	5.991	Reject H _o
DF			2	

P<0.05

The relationship between gender and involvement of local people in park conservation and management is shown in table 4. This implies that the involvement of people is gender dependent.



Table 4:	The relationship betw	ween Gender a	ind Local po	eople involvement in pa	ark conservation and man	agement
		<i>~ 1 1</i>				1

	Calculated	Tabulated	Decision
X ²	58.963	3.841	Reject H _o
DF		1	

P<0.05

Table 5 showed the relationship between level of education and involvement of local people in park conservation and management. This revealed that involvement of local people in park conservation and management depends on their level of education.

Table 5: The relationship between level of Education and Local people involvement in park conservation and

	Calculated	gement Tabulated	Decision
X ²	73.854	11.070	Reject H _o
DF		5	

P<0.05

Table 6 shows the relationship between occupation and involvement of local people in park conservation and management is statistically

significant. Result revealed that that involvement of local people in park management and conservation depends on their occupation.

Table 6: The relationship between Occupation and Local people involvement in park conservation and

	Calculated	gement Tabulated	Decision
X ²	59.805	11.070	Reject H _o
DF		5	

P<0.05

The relationship between perception of local people and their involvement in the conservation and management of the park is shown

in table 7. Result revealed that involvement depends on their feelings about the park conservation and management.

Table 7: The relationship between Perception and Local people involvement in park conservation and

Calculated Tabulated Decision				
X ²	78.049	3.841	Reject H _o	
DF		1		



P<0.05

Table 8 shows the relationship between agreement of people with conservation idea and their involvement . This implies that local people

involvement in conservation and management of the park depends on their agreement with conservation principles.

Table 8: The relationship between Agreement with idea of conservation and Local people involvement in park

	Calculated	Tabulated	Decision
X ²	152.220	5.991	Reject H _o
DF		2	

P < 0.05

The relationship between benefit derived from conservation and Local people involvement in park conservation and management is shown in table 9. This implies that local people involvement in park conservation and management depends on the benefit derived from it.

Table 9: The relationship between Benefit from conservation and Local people involvement in park conservation and management

	Calculated	Tabulated	Decision
X ²	73.198	3.841	Reject H _o
DF		1	

P<0.05

Table 10 shows the relationship between willingness to participate in the management of the park and local people involvement in conservation and management of the park. This implies that the involvement of the people in park conservation and management depends on their willingness to participate in the management of the park.

Table 10: The relationship between Willingness to participate and Local people involvement in park

	Calculated	Tabulated	Decision
X ²	53.122	3.841	Reject H _o
DF		1	

IV. CONCLUSION

This research revealed many villagers thought establishment of the park is for the visitors and do not understand the importance of national park in their locality. Many of them are also ignorant of the laws and regulations that guide the Park.

V. RECOMMENDATION

- (1) There is need to update wildlife policies and legislation in Kainji Lake National Park
- (2) Since it is the culture of the people in the community to hunt game, efforts should be made to integrate small holder game farming into agricultural system to reduce poaching activities within the project area.



International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM) Volume 3, Issue 9 Sep 2021, pp: 581-588 www.ijaem.net ISSN: 2395-5252

- (3) I recommend that workshops, seminar, talk shows, should be organized regularly to the people in the community for the awareness of conservation and conservation laws.
- (4) Also there should be formation of schools conservation clubs at primary to the tertiary level.
- (5) Federal government should ensure fair and equitable financing conservation activities.

REFERENCES

- Aremu, O.T., Emelue, G.U., Osayimwen, F.E. and Obasogie, F.O. (2012). Estimate of Habitat Quality of Whitethroated (Cercopithecus erythrogaster) in Okomu National Park, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment. 8(1): 47-51. Davies, G. (Ed.) (2002). African Forest Biodiversity: a field survey manual for vertebrates. Earthwatch, Oxford, United Kingdom, 172 pp. Meduna, A.J.,
- [2]. Ajayi, (2003).Handbook on Nigeria Tourism Development Corporation (NTDC) Pp. 24-32
- [3]. Dowling R. and David, F. (2003). The Context of Ecotourism Policy and Planning in Ecotourism Policy and Planning, eds. CABI Publishing, Pp 1-20.
- [4]. Falade, G. O., & Adebanjo, A. (2008). Ecotourism: A course Guide. National Open University of Nigeria. <u>http://www.nou.edu.ng/NOUN OCL/pdf/.../</u> ESM%20221-MAIN%20BODY.p

- [5]. Idowu, O. S., Halidu, S. K., & Odebiyi, A. R. (2011). Evaluation of the Effect of Legislation on Wildlife Conservation: A Case Study of Kainji Lake National Park, Kainji, Niger State, Nigeria. International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 1(7), 1609-1615.
- [6]. Meduna A. J and Onadeko S.A. 2002. Biodiversity Composition of the Kainji lake National Park. Consideration for Improvement and Management. Forest Resources Association of Nigeria (FAN) Conference Proceeding of the 14 th Annual Conference Edt. O.O Okoro and S.A. Fuwape. pp 175-197.
- [7]. Ogunjinmi, A.A. and Onadeko, S.A.A. (2009). Biodiversity Conservation Problems and Their Implications on Ecotourism in Kainji Lake National Park, Nigeria.Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa 10(4): 59-73.
- [8]. Ogunjinmi, A.A., Onadeko, S.A. and Adewumi, A.A. (2012). An Empirical Study of the Effects of Personal Factors on Environmental Attitudes of Local Communities around Nigeria's Protected Areas. The Journal of Transdisciplinary Environmental Studies. 11(1): 40-53.
- [9]. Osemeobo, G. J. (1978). A Management Plan for the Zugurma Sector of the Kainji Lake National Park, Nigeria. Federal Department of Forestry, Lagos, Nigeria.