

Impact of Behaviour Biases on Investor's Decision Making In Greater Noida

¹Manoj Kumar ^{*}, ²Kajal Singh ^{**}, ³Girish Garg ^{***}

¹ student, school of finance & commerce, galgotias university, up-203201

^{*}author. Email id: manojk1932@gmail.com

² students, school of finance & commerce, galgotias university, up-203201

^{**}co-author. Email id: kajalsingh86307@gmil.com

³ assistant professor, school of finance & commerce, galgotias university, up-203201

Submitted: 01-07-2021

Revised: 10-07-2021

Accepted: 13-07-2021

ABSTRACT

This paper identifies the behavioral bias of individual investors in Greater Noida. Investment decision plays a significant role in one's life and it is affected by various factors, behavioral bias being one of the most important factor. It is a complex process. As far as risk is concerned, human behavior has changed drastically over the time and an effort has been made to explore its effect on investment decision. An interview and well structured questionnaire will be distributed among 352 investors to know their behavioral bias score and its impact on investment decision.

I. INTRODUCTION

Behavioral Finance as a discipline tries to explain and increase understanding regarding how the cognitive errors and emotions of investors influence the process of decision making. It is a study of individual and group emotions and the impact of thus behavior on the financial market. People's perceptions of the market directly impact price movements and trends. e.g. 'Charm Prices helps to increase sale of a product. It is also necessary to focus upon how investors interpret and act on information to make informed investment decision. There is a need to study the individual and group emotions and the impact of their behavior on the financial market. We are living in the Globalization era, where finance plays a vital role. It is a valuable consideration for all significant decision making. Everyone knows the significance of saving. Investment involves proper channelizing of saving. The value of money also depreciates if it is not put to be invested; this is due to many factors such as value of money decreases with the passage of time. Money is the queen of all discoveries. Investment refers to the process of obtaining funds by building up operations or buying investment products such as stocks, bonds and annuities. The

process of investment decision encapsulates identification of problem, search for alternatives, evaluation of various options and constructing a portfolio. "Decision making under risk and uncertainty is one of biggest topics discussed by both psychologist and economist" (Lowenstein et al 2001).

The modern theory of decision making relating to investment under risk and uncertainty is very complication. Presence and behavior of anomalies continue to violate the fundamental behavior of the financial markets which assumes that all investors are logical and behave rationally. It was suggested by the proponents of behavioral finance that while most of the biases will not be simultaneously present in all investors, however some or the other bias will be prevalent impacting the financial market in general.

II. OBJECTIVES

- To study the investment pattern in India.
- To understand the behavioral biases of individual investor of Greater Noida.
- To analysis the impact of behavioral bias on investment decision.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

A review of literature consigns a research study in proper perspective by showing the quantity of work already carried out in the related area of the study. The purpose of this part is to understand the results of various studies already undertaken in the relevant field. Byder, J, Audile, D.A & Arango I (2019) examines the way investors in an emerging market react to a domestic financial crisis. It was conjectured that risk aversion increases following such events. The paper described manner in which financial crisis affect risk preferences of investors in emerging markets. Jacob NiyoyitaMahina, Willy Muturi, Memb& Florence (2017), studied the effect

of behavioural biases on investment in stock exchange. As per Kaur I and Kaushik (2016), Mutual fund in India is not a favorable investment alternative as in developed countries.

As per Thaler R. (2016), a paradigm shift can happen due to the failure of orthodox ideas and policies or when new technology is introduced that radically alters the production process. Coffie William (2013), reveal the main issues of individual stock investors and examine whether there is any positive correlations between major stock investment strategies and behavioral finance theories. William N. Goetzmann and Alok Kumar (2008), Commented on diversified portfolio. Diversified choices of more than 60,000 individual investors were analyze at a large US discount

Brokerage house. Campbell John Y and John H. Cochrane (1999), Presented a consumption based model that explains the pro-cyclical variation of stock prices.

Thaler R. (1985), framed a new model of consumer behavior using a hybrid of cognitive psychology and micro economy. Kahneman D. and Tversky A. (1979), criticized the expected utility theory and an alternative model called prospect theory was developed. A prospect is a contract that yields outcome n , with probability P_i , where $P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_n = 1$.

Sharpe W C (1964) predicts the behavior of capital market in the absence of a body of positive micro-economic theory dealing with conditions of risk. Friedman M and I J Sarage (1948) suggested that an important class of reactions of individuals to risk can be rationalized by a rather simple extension of orthodox utility analysis. He usually chooses certainty in preference to uncertainty. SyedAliyaZahera, RohitBansal (2018), the aim of the study was to understand and describe various biases in investment decision making – A new Era of understanding of human emotions, behavior and sentiments has been started and the study had identified different types of biases. According to Ashby, N.J.s., Rakow, T & Yechiam, E (2017) Re-framing a certain zero option as an option to observe the results of other options without choosing would lead to increased selection of risky options rather than the zero option. Katherine D, Arbuthnott, Andrea Scerbe (2016) examined whether goal framing also influences decisions about public resources such as economic development. It was revealed that decisions bias associated with goal framings applies to decisions about public as well as personal resources. Results also indicated loss aversion higher ratings when losses associated with failing to adapt development were emphasis.

EyalErt, IdoErev (2013) highlighted the six specific conditions that trigger the pattern predicted by the loss assertion. It was also suggested that the contingent 13 nature of loss aversion should be considered in the analysis of field data. Benjamin E. Hilbig (2012), proposed a multinomial processing tree model¹⁴ to distinguish between differences in (i) knowledge or (ii) response bias that accounts for the framing effect. Application of model revealed that the effect of framing can be considered as a bias: Given insufficient knowledge, individuals are more likely to guess “tree” when faced with a negatively framed statistical statement. More Van Buiten, Gideon Keren (2009), examined preferred message framing of and its correspondence persuasiveness was assessed with the help of listener’s responses. The results revealed that speakers exhibit a marked and consistent preference for posting framing over negative. Born, J and J.C. Hershey (1988) explored the evidence of outcome bias in an economic game experiment consisting of two players where reward allocated was to be made. Atkhars M, Evangelopoulos N, D avur R. S. Kulkarni (2019), investigated Sixcognitive biases resulting from the use of the representativeness Heuristics less than 50% of the respondents made biased decisions. Results showed that in all six

Scenarios. Magdalena Mikolajek- Gocejna (2017), studied Complexity of capital market causes that people use hevestitcs, it also explain the reasons for the market to act in an irrational manner.

Anomalies in economic theory lead to the formation of behavioral finance. Montibeller G, Detlof von fedt winter fieldt (2015), identified the cognitive and motivational biases that are relevant for decision and risk Analysis. Peer E. gamliel (2012), found that The participants used the percentage heuristic more often, perhaps because it predicate linearly , increasing values of time small when speed is increased.

As per JordiCaballe, KobsefSa; kovics (2003), two components of self confidence in a financial market were distinguishic private self confidence measures the self confidence of speculators, while public self confidence measures the self confidence, they attribute to their competitors. A model of trading was presented where the underlying beliefs are not different.

IV. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

Primary Objectives

1. To study the impact and relevance of behavioral financing in investment decision of investors

Secondary Objective

1. To analyze the behavior and psychology of investors
2. To know the preference of people towards investing

Scope of Study

The study was limited to some theories only
Scope of study is limited to Greater noida city of India

Data Collection Sources

1. Primary Data; Here in this research the following methods of data collection are used to described characteristics of a population or phenomenon being studied

2. Secondary Data; Internet, books, newspaper, articles, magazines and blogs are some of the sources of secondary data collection that we have used for our research

Sampling Plan

POPULATION-People of greater noida

Sampling frame –People who invest

Sampling method-Convenience sampling

Sampling size-181people

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research primarily descriptive in nature and qualitative in approach. The research will use make use of various methods like questionnaire, interview with expert and information available on the sites of various stock exchange in India. Random sampling method will be used. Sekaran (2003) stated that personally administer the questionnaire is a good choice when conducting the survey in a local area. The data for the study will also be collect from the site of stock exchange. Greater Noida (National Capital Region) has been selected as study area. Random Sampling was selected for the study, the findings reported are based on a survey that was developed and tested over a period of six months (April 2019 – September 2019). The sample size for the final study consists of 352 respondents

Conceptual Framework

Economic Approach to Investor Behaviors In economic theory of investment decision making, the investment decision of the individual investor is treated as macroeconomic aggregate and intertemporal wealth critters while making a choice between consumption and investment through time. The theory assumes rational behavior of investor. The theory of expected utility was introduced by Bernoulli, D in 1738. The expected utility is calculated by adding the weighted average of all possible outcomes with the weights being assigned by probability under

certain situation. Traditional finance has dominance in the market. The body of knowledge was built on the of pillars of arbitrage principles of Miller and Modigliani, the portfolio principal of Markowitz, capital assets pricing model (CAPM) of William sharps, Linter and Black and option pricing model of Black and Scholes, and Merton (Statman, 1999). Arbitrage principle of Miller and Modigliani (1958) According to the theory, a company can attain an optimum capital structure consisting of a mixture of debt and equity. The company can gain from this mixture due to its ability to minimize its weighted average cost of capital, thereby maximizing its market value. By purchasing and assets at a lower price in one market and selling it immediately at higher price in the other market, the investor can make an arbitrage gain.

Portfolio Principles of Harry M. Markowitz (1952, 1959) Harry M. Markowitz developed a model which is theoretical framework for analysis of risk and return and their inter-relationships. It has been created with introduction of new concepts of risk measurement and their application to the selection of portfolios. Mean-Variance Portfolio Theory According to Markowitz mean - variance portfolio theory, rate of return on assets is modeled as random variable. The aim of investor is to choose the portfolio weighting factors optimally. An optimal set of weights is one in where the portfolio achieves an acceptable baseline i.e expected rate of return with minimum volatility.

Capital assets pricing model by William Sharpe (1964) and Linter (1965) the model is useful for determining a theoretically appropriate required rate of return of an asset, which aids in decision making regarding adding assets to a well diversified portfolio. CAPM developed a way to measure systematic risk. Option pricing theory it is primarily a mathematical model, use that makes use of variables like stock price, exercise price, volatility, interest rate and expiration time to theoretically value an option. Black-Scholes-Merton model was the first model, which was widely used for option pricing.

Capital Market Efficiency Capital Market efficiently is a measure of how quickly and completely the price of a single asset reflects all available information regarding the asset's value. Criticism Accordingly to these standard finances theories, people value wealth, investors act rationally while making financial decisions and investors would like to maximize their expected utility. Kahneman and Tversky (1971, 1979) and Slovic (1972) had challenged the rationality assumption and served the foundation for behavior

finance theories. Behavioral Finance Behavioral Finance is thus, a combination of behavioral and cognitive psychological theory with conventional economics and finances. It is a sub field of behavioral economics, which proposes psychology based theories to explain stock market anomalies, such as, service rise or falls in stock price. It focuses upon how investors interpret and act on information to make informed investment decision. It was also found that either market was not as efficient as once purported or that the assets during models were inadequate. According to Pompian (2006), behavioral finance has two sub topics – Behavioral Finance Micro – It analysis behavior or biases of individual investors that distinguish them from the rational actors envisioned in classical economic theory. Behavioral Finance Macro – It detects and describes anomalies in efficient market hypothesis that behavioral model may explain.

Frame Dependent Biases: Framing is the way in which a question is structured with regard to the issue being evaluated. It implies how a concept is presented to individual matters Prospect Theory. As per Tversky and Kahneman (1981). “Prospect theory and the scales should be viewed as an approximate, incomplete and simplified description of the evaluation of risky prospect. Loss Aversion. Kahneman and Tversky (1979) explained the degree to which investors are averse to taking losses. According to it, the impact of loss is 2-5 times more than the impact of gains of the same magnitude.

Mental accounting: Mental Accounting was proposal by Richard Thaler. It describes the tendency of people to place particular events into different mental accounts based on superficial attributes, for example, some people keep a special “Money jar” or similar fund set aside for a vacation on a new home while at the same time corresponding substantial credit card debt. For example, some people keep a special “Money jar” or similar fund set aside for a vacation on a new home while at the same time corresponding substantial credit card debt.

Disposition Effect: It relates to the tendency of investors to sell assets that have increased in value, while keeping assets that have dropped in value. Cognitive Dissonance With regard to investment decisions, cognitive dissonance can be regarded as pain of regret stemming from wrong beliefs. Regret Aversion. Regret averse people may fear the consequence of both errors of omission (e.g. not brought the right investment property) and commission (e.g. buying the wrong investment property) (Seiler et al, 2008). Heuristics and

Biases: The term “heuristics” is a way of teaching by encouraging students to learn through doing and discovery things themselves rather than telling them about thing. It widely consists of Representatives. The representative’s heuristics is commonly used while making judgments concerning the probability of an incident under uncertainty.

According to Kahneman and Riepe (1998), “The Human mind is a pattern seeking device and is strongly biased to adopt the hypothesis that a casual factor is at work behind any notable sequence of events. Overconfidence can lead an investor to experience issues as he may not prepare properly for a scenario or may get into a dangerous situation that he’s not equipped to handle. Investors may not be aware that the available information is not adequate to develop an accurate forecast in uncertain situations and hence, can enter in a risky investment.

Availability bias: it is the tendency of humans to assume the examples of things that come readily to mind i.e without any delay are more representative than is actually. It hampers critical Thinking. It ignores any defected study of past events and thereby become biased to the latest news because of this bias, our perceptions of risk may be in error and we might wrong about the wrong risk. Anchoring is a cognitive bias. It occurs when, during decision making, an individual relies on an initial piece of information to make subsequent judgments .Endowment Effect. Endowment effect implies the finding that people are more likely to retain an object they own than acquire that some object when they do not own it. For example – People who inherit shares of stock from deceased relatives exhibit the endowment effect by refusing to divert those shares, even if they do not fit with that individual’s risk tolerance or investment goal and may neglect impact of portfolio’s diversification. In cognitive psychology and decision Science, Conservatism bias is a bias in human information processing, which refers to the tendency to revise ones belief insufficiently when presented with new evidence. People prefer to stay on the things have normally been

VI. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

It can be observed that majority of respondents were male (81.818%) and were married (83.806%). Majority of respondents were below 30 years of age constituting 53.409%. Most of the respondents were well qualified. 24.715% of them are doctorate, 39.488% postgraduates, 30.397% graduates, while only 5.397% are below graduation. Most of the respondents belong to

middle income class constituting 57.95% of the population.

Table 1.2 Behavioral biases of Investors

Questions no	10	11	12	13	14
Not at all	19	43	6	20	3
Not too much	26	105	67	77	26
Somewhat	113	138	140	170	44
Highly	148	43	115	62	117
Extremely	46	23	24	23	162
Total	352	352	352	352	352

Question 10 measures herd bias, majority of them are highly biased and question 11 measures overconfidence bias, majority of investors are somewhat biased (39.204%). Question 12 and Question 13 measures availability bias and mental accounting respectively and majority of investors are somewhat biased. ANOVA ANOVA test is used to find the influence behavioral bias of the respondents on their investment decision.

Hypothesis H0: There is no significant difference between behavioral bias of the respondents and its influence on their investment decision. H1: There is a significant difference between behavioral bias of the respondents and its Influence on their investment decision Decision criteria Reject the null hypothesis (H0) if the level of significance is less than 5%.

Table 1.3 Results of one way ANOVA

Sl. No	Name of bias	F value	Level of significance	Result
1	Herd bias	11.84	0	Significant
2	Overconfidence	11.84	0	Significant
3	Ability bias	9.76	0	Significant
4	Mental accounting	9.413	0	Significant
5	Regret aversion	6.533	0	Significant

VII. RESULTS

According to one way ANOVA, there is a significant relation between investment decision and behavioral bias of the respondents i.e. herd bias, overconfidence, availability bias, mental accounting and regret aversion.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This research paper is an attempt to study the influence of behavioral bias of individual investors in Greater Noida .Psychology plays a significant role in determining investment behavior. Hence, the understanding of behavioral bias is important. It was found that on average, all the investors are influenced by behavioral bias to a large extend. Behavioral bias has a significant impact on decision making. It is due to this effect that they avoid taking risk and prefer to invest their money in less risky avenues. Hence, it can be concluded that Awareness and knowledge of various financial products has changed the perception of investors. It was found that there is a significant relation between investment decision and behavioral bias of the respondents i.e. herd

bias, availability bias, overconfidence, mental accounting and regret aversion.

REFERENCES

- [1]. A, Srivastava. (2007) an analysis of behavior of investors in India. ICFAI Journal Behavioral Finance 4, 43- 52.
- [2]. Agrawal, K. (2012). A Conceptual Framework of Behavioral Bias in Finance. IUP Journal of Behavioral Finance.
- [3]. B, Kuvaas; & M, Selart. (2004) Effects of attribute framing on cognitive processing and evaluation. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes 95, 198-207.
- [4]. Babcock, L., Wang, X., & Loewenstein, G. (1996). Choosing the wrong pond: Social comparisons in negotiations that reflect a self-serving bias. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 111(1), 1-19.
- [5]. Belsky, G., & Gilovich, T. (2010). Why smart people make big money mistakes and how to correct them: Lessons from the life changing science of behavioral economics. Simon and Schuster.

- [6]. Boda, Rani. Jhansi; & Sunitha, G. (2018). Investor's psychology in investment decision making: A behavioral finance approach. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 119 (9), 1253-1260.
- [7]. D, A, Hirshleifer. (2001) Investor psychology and asset pricing. *Journal of Finance* 56, 1533-598.
- [8]. D, Kahneman. (2002). Maps of bounded rationality: A perspective on intuitive judgment and choice. Nobel prize lecture, 8, 351-401.
- [9]. D, Kahneman., & Tversky, A. (1982). On the study of statistical intuitions. *Cognition*, 11(2), 123-141.
- [10]. D, Kahneman; & M, W, Riepe. (1998) Aspects of investor psychology. *J Port Mgmt.* 24, 52-65.
- [11]. D, Kahneman; & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision making under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-291.
- [12]. Lockton, D. (2012). Cognitive bias, heuristics and decision-making in design for behavior change. *Heuristics and Decision-making in Design for Behavior Change* (August 5, 2012).
- [13]. Mellers, B., Schwartz, A., Ho, K., & Ritov, I. (1997). Elation and disappointment: Emotional responses to risky options. *Psychological science*, 8(6), 423-29.
- [14]. Phung, A. (2007). Behavioral finance: Key concepts-herd behavior. Retrieved April, 24, 2016.
- [15]. Pompian, M.M. (2012). Behavioral finance and investor types: managing behavior to make better investment decisions. John Wiley & Sons.