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#### Abstract

The equation $\left(A \nabla^{4}+B \nabla^{2}+C\right) \chi=$ 0 with matrix coefficients $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ is studied for homogeneous boundary conditions. An integral constraint is derived for the above system leading to a relationship between the matrix involved. As a consequence, results are obtained for doublediffusive convection problem coupled with crossdiffusions for Veronis' and Stern's type configurations.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The stability properties of binary fluids are quite different from pure fluids because of Soret and Dufour effects [1, 2]. An externally imposed temperature gradient produces a chemical potential gradient and the phenomenon known as the Soret effect, arises when the mass flux contains a term that depends upon the temperature gradient. The analogous effect that arises from a concentration gradient dependent term in the heat flux is called the Dufour effect. It is now well established fact that the thermosolutal and Soret-Dufour problems are quite closely related, in fact, they are formally identical and identification is done by means of a linear transformation that takes the equations and boundary conditions for the latter problem into those for the former. The analysis of double diffusive convection becomes complicated in case when the diffusivity of one property is much greater than the other. Further, when two transport processes take place simultaneously, they interfere with each other and produce cross diffusion effect (Dufour-Soret effects). The Soret and Dufour coefficients describe the flux of mass caused by temperature gradient and
the flux of heat caused by concentration gradient respectively. The coupling of the fluxes of the stratifying agents is a prevalent feature in multicomponent fluid systems. In general, the stability of such systems is also affected by the cross-diffusion terms. Generally, it is assumed that the effect of cross diffusions on the stability criteria is negligible. However, there are liquid mixtures for which cross diffusions are of the same order of magnitude as the diffusivities. There are only few studies available on the effect of cross diffusion on double diffusion convection largely because of the complexity in determining these coefficients. The effect of Soret coefficient on the double-diffusive convection has been studied by [3]. They have reported that the magnitude and sign of the Soret coefficient were changed by varying the composition of the mixture. The problem of Dufourdriven thermosolutal convection has also been considered by [4] and results concerning the linear growth rate and behavior of oscillatory motions have been established.

Bounds to eigenvalues of ordinary homogeneous system are a problem of interest for their own sake and assume added significance when these systems represent physical situations and the eigenvalues are not exactly obtainable [Warren [5]]. The method of quadratic forms is a familiar device which often succeeds in characterizing the eigenvalues and establishing the bounds, and an important application of this occurs in a certain class of hydrodynamics stability problems [see, for example, Chandrasekhar [6] and Lin [7].There is a basic similarity of approach in all these cases ,namely." Multiplying the governing equation by the conjugate eigenfunction and integrating the resulting equation over the range of the boundary conditions,"
but apart from this each case is treated as a particular problem and the various other steps taken in any two cases often appear ad hoc and unrelated. The question that naturally emerges is the following: Can a unified mathematical treatment be given to the above class of problems wherein the basic content of the method of quadratic forms is retained while its ad hoc nature (in the context of the above mentioned applications) is removed.

In the present paper we show that a generalized biharmonic equation with matrix coefficients and homogeneous boundary conditions provides the basis for the unified approach for a subclass of the above class of problems such that in any two cases the application differs only in the choice of the matrices involved. As a consequence, known as well as unknown results are obtained for double-diffusive convection coupled with crossdiffusions in case of Veronis' and Stern's type configurations[8,9].

## II. FORMULATION AND RESULTS

Consider the coupled system of $n$ linear homogeneous partial differential equations
$\left[A \nabla^{4}+B \nabla^{2}+C(x)\right] \chi(x)=0$,
in a simply connected open subset V of the Euclidean space $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{x}$ refers to the point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \ldots x_{m}\right)$ of $V$; A and B are $n \times n$ matrices with complex constant entries; $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})$ is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix with complex valued functions on V as entries; $\nabla^{2 \mathrm{k}}$ stands for the operator $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}}\left(\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}=1,2 ; \chi(\mathrm{x})$ is the column vector $\left\{\chi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}_{\mathrm{n} \times 1}, \chi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ being complex valued functions on $V$ and $\nabla^{2 \mathrm{k}} \chi(\mathrm{x}) \equiv\left\{\nabla^{2 \mathrm{k}} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}_{\mathrm{n} \times 1}$.

We consider equation (1) together with homogeneous boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(\mathrm{x})=0 \text { and either } \mathrm{A} \frac{\partial \chi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=0 \text { or } \mathrm{A} \nabla^{2} \chi(\mathrm{x}) \\
=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

on the boundary $S$ of $V$. Here $A(\partial \chi / \partial n)$ and $A \nabla^{2} \chi$ stand for the vectors $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(\partial \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} / \partial \mathrm{n}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{n} \times 1}$ and $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathrm{n} \times 1}$, respectively, and $\partial / \partial \mathrm{n}$ denotes the differentiation along the positive outward drawn normal at any point on S. Henceforth, we shall use the summation convection for repeated indices unless stated otherwise.

In Section 3, a necessary condition for the existence of a non-trivial solution $\chi(x)$ of equations (1) and (2) is obtained in the form of an integral relation involving the solution, which is then specialized to a form more suited to applications. The integral relation yields an inequality between the eigenvalues of the matrices involved and has no explicit dependence on the solution.
In Section 4, the above results are shown to lead to the following consequences in the field of hydrodynamic stability:
That the complex growth rate $p\left(=p_{r}+i p_{i}\right)$ of an arbitrary oscillatory perturbation, neutral or unstable, in the linear stability problem of thermohaline convection (Veronis'/Stern's configuration) with dynamically free or rigid boundaries, must lie in an open disk in the right half of the $p_{r} p_{i}$-plane with centre as origin and (radius) ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\prime} \sigma /-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} \sigma$, where $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\prime}$ is the modified salinity Rayleigh number, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}$ is the modified thermal Rayliegh number and $\sigma$ is the Prandtl number (Banerjee et al. [10]).

## III. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

Lemma 1: If a solution $\chi$ satisfying equations (1) and (2) exists then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right)^{\dagger} \mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right) \mathrm{d} V-\int_{V}(\operatorname{grad} \chi)^{\dagger} \mathrm{B}_{1}(\operatorname{grad} \chi) \mathrm{d} V+\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} \mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \chi \mathrm{dV}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{1}=\frac{A-A^{\dagger}}{2 i}, \quad B_{1}=\frac{B-B^{\dagger}}{2 i}, \quad C_{1}(x)=\frac{C(x)-C^{\dagger}(x)}{2 i},
$$

$\operatorname{grad} \chi=\left\{\operatorname{grad} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{n} \times 1}$ and the grad operator is in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$, and the symbols $\dagger$ and $*$ stand for the complex conjugate transpose and complex conjugate, respectively, so that the second term on the left hand side of equation (3), for example, stands for $\int_{V}\left(\partial \chi_{\mathrm{ii}} / \partial \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{ik}}\left(\partial \chi_{\mathrm{k}} / \partial \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{dV}$.
Proof: Multiplication of equation (1) to the left by $\chi^{\dagger}$ and integration over the domain $V$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} A \nabla^{4} \chi \mathrm{~d} V+\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} B \nabla^{2} \chi d V+\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} C(x) \chi d V=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} A \nabla^{4} \chi d V=\int_{V} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \nabla^{4} \chi_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{dV}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{V}} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \nabla^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} V, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and making repeated use of Gauss' theorem in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$ and boundary conditions (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{V}} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \nabla^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} V=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{S}} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{n}}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{ds}-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{V}} \operatorname{grad} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \cdot \operatorname{grad}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} V \\
&=-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{V}} \operatorname{grad} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \cdot \operatorname{grad}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{dV} \\
&=-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{S}} \nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{n}}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right) \mathrm{ds}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \int_{\mathrm{V}} \nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{i}} \nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \mathrm{dV}
\end{align*}
$$

the symbol "." is the dot product. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} B \nabla^{2} \chi \mathrm{dV}=-\int_{V}(\operatorname{grad} \chi)^{\dagger} B(\operatorname{grad} \chi) \mathrm{d} V \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (5) - (7), we have from equation (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right)^{\dagger} A\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right) d V-\int_{V}(\operatorname{grad} \chi)^{\dagger} B(\operatorname{grad} \chi) d V+\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} C(x) \chi d V=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The imaginary part of equation (8) gives

$$
\int_{V}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right)^{\dagger} A_{1}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right) d V-\int_{V}(\operatorname{grad} \chi)^{\dagger} B_{1}(\operatorname{grad} \chi) d V+\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} C_{1}(x) \chi d V=0
$$

and this proves the lemma.
Theorem 1: Under the hypothesis of Lemma 1, if $A_{1}=1 A_{2}, B_{1}=1 B_{2}, C_{1}(x)=-l C_{2}(x)$, where 1 is a non-zero real number; $A_{2}$ is a non-negative definite Hermitian matrix and $B_{2}$ is a positive definite Hermitian matrix, $C_{2}(x)$ is a Hermitian matrix; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Sup }\left[\text { Eigenvalues of } \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right]>0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

"Sup" being taken over all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x})$ over all x in V .
Proof: Since $A_{2}$ is no
n -negative definite and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ positive definite, we have

$$
\int_{V}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right)^{\dagger} A_{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \chi\right) d V+\int_{V}(\operatorname{grad} \chi)^{\dagger} B_{2}(\operatorname{grad} \chi) d V>0
$$

Lemma 1 then gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} C_{2}(x) \chi d V>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots \ldots \ldots, u_{n}(x)$ be the $n$ eigenvectors of $C_{2}(x)$. Here it is to be carefully noted that for each $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ and each x in $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ is an $\mathrm{n} \times 1$ matrix. Further, let $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ be the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x})$ corresponding to $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ so that $\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})=\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ (no summation implied). The matrix $\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x})$ being Hermitian in a finite dimensional space, the spectral theorem gives that these form an orthonormal basis for $R^{n}$ for each x in V , i.e. $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\dagger} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x})=\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}$. We thus have an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(x)$ are complex valued functions on $V$.
Substitution of $\chi(\mathrm{x})$ from equation (11) in the left hand member of inequality (10) yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathrm{V}} \chi^{\dagger} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x}) \chi \mathrm{dV}=\int_{\mathrm{V}}\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right]^{\dagger} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{x})\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right] \mathrm{dV} \\
=\int_{V}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{*}(x) u_{i}^{\dagger}(x)\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(x) f_{i}(x) u_{i}(x)\right] d V \\
=\int_{V}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f_{i}(x)\right|^{2} \lambda_{i}(x)\right] d V \\
\leq\left[\operatorname{Sup}_{1 \leq i \leq n, x \in V} \operatorname{Sup}_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}(x)\right)\right] \int_{V} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f_{i}(x)\right|^{2} d V
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} C_{2}(x) \chi d V \leq\left[\operatorname{Sup}_{1 \leq i \leq n, x \in V} \operatorname{Sup}_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}(x)\right)\right] \int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} \chi d V \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem now follows from inequalities (10) and (12).
Corollary 1: Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, if $C_{2}(x)=C_{3}(x)-C_{4}(x)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Sup }\left[\text { Eigenvalues of } C_{3}(x)\right]>\operatorname{Inf}\left[\text { Eigenvalues of } C_{4}(x)\right] \text {, } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

"Sup" and "Inf" being taken as in inequality (9).
The proof readily follows from Theorem 1.
Corrolary 2: Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, if $B_{2}=B_{3}-B_{4}, C_{2}(x)=C_{5}(x)-C_{6}(x)$ and there exists a positive definite Hermitian matrix $H(x)$ s.t

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V}\left[(\operatorname{grad} \chi)^{\dagger} B_{4} \operatorname{grad} \chi+\chi^{\dagger} C_{5}(x) \chi\right] d V<\int_{V} \chi^{\dagger} H(x) \chi d V \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Sup }[\text { Eigenvalues of } H(x)]>\operatorname{Inf}\left[\text { Eigenvalues of } C_{6}(x)\right], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "Sup" and "Inf" are taken as in inequality (9) and $B_{3}, B_{4}, C_{5}(x), C_{6}(x)$ are Hermitian matrices with $B_{3}, B_{4}$ and $C_{5}(x)$ being positive definite.
The proof readily follows from Theorem 1.
Corollary 3: If Eq. (1) is replaced by

$$
\left[A \nabla^{4}+B \nabla^{2}+C(x)+\quad i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right] \chi=0
$$

$\gamma_{j}$ 's beings real constants, then the conclusions of Lemmal and Theorem 1 are still valid.
Proof: We exactly follow the proof of Lemma 1 and note that the only additional term that we now have to consider on the left hand side of Eq.(4) is

$$
i \int_{V} \chi^{\dagger}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x_{j}}\right] \mathrm{dv}
$$

Now,
$i \int_{V} \chi^{\dagger}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x_{j}}\right] \mathrm{dV}=\quad i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{V} \gamma_{j} \chi_{r}^{*} \frac{\partial \chi_{r}}{\partial x_{j}} d V$.
Further, let $\chi_{r}=u_{r}+i v_{r}$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \quad i \int_{V} \chi^{\dagger}\left[\sum_{J=1}^{m} \gamma_{J} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{J}}\right] d V= \\
& i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \int_{V}\left(u_{r}-i v_{r}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(u_{r}+i v_{r}\right) d V \\
& =i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \int_{V} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(u_{r}^{2}+v_{r}^{2}\right) d V \quad-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \int_{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(u_{r} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{j}}-v_{r} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) d V \\
& =-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{j} \int_{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(u_{r} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{j}}-v_{r} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) d V
\end{aligned}
$$

which is purely real. Conclusion of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 therefore remain unchanged. This proves the corollary.
Remark1: It is clear that Theorem1 and its consequences are valid in a much more general setting. For instance $\chi(x)$ could be a vector in an finite dimensional Hilbert space, $A_{2}, B_{2}$ and $C_{2}(x)$ compact linear Hermitian operators, $A_{2}$ being non-negative definite and $B_{2}$ being positive definite.

## IV. APPLICATION TO HYDRODNAMIC STABILITY

(a) Stability of Double-Diffusive Convection Coupled with Cross-diffusions for Veronis' configuration The governing equations and boundary conditions for this problem are as follows:
Following the usual steps of linear stability theory the non- dimensional linearized perturbation equations governing the thermosolutal convection problem coupled with cross-diffusion with slight change in notations are easily seen to given by (Neild [11], Krusin [12]).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(D^{2}-a^{2}-\frac{p}{\sigma}\right) w=R_{T} a^{2} \theta-R_{s} a^{2} \phi  \tag{17}\\
& \left(D^{2}-a^{2}-p\right) \theta+D_{T}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right) \phi=-w,
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(D^{2}-a^{2}-\frac{p}{\tau}\right) \phi+S_{T}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right) \theta=-\frac{w}{\tau}$,
$w=0=\theta=\phi=D w$ at $\mathrm{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=1$
or

$$
w=0=\theta=\phi=D^{2} w \quad \text { at } \mathrm{z}=0 \text { and } \mathrm{z}=1
$$

or $\quad w=0=\theta=\phi=D w \quad$ at $\mathrm{z}=0$
$w=0=\theta=\phi=D^{2} w$ at $\mathrm{z}=1$.
(lower boundary rigid and upper boundary dynamically free).
The meanings of symbols from physical point of view are as follows;
z is the vertical coordinate, $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dz}$ is differentiation along the vertical direction, $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ is square of horizontal wave number, $\sigma=\frac{v}{\kappa}$ is the thermal Prandtl number, $\tau=\frac{\eta_{1}}{\kappa}$ is the Lewis number, $R_{T}=\frac{g \alpha \beta_{1} d^{4}}{\kappa v}$ is the thermal Rayleigh number, $R_{S}=\frac{g \alpha \beta_{2} d^{4}}{\kappa v}$ is the concentration Rayleigh number, $D_{T}=\frac{\beta_{2} D_{f}}{\beta_{1} \kappa}$ is the Dufour number, $S_{T}=\frac{\beta_{1} S_{f}}{\beta_{2} \eta_{1}}$ is the Soret number, $\phi$ is the concentration, $\theta$ is the temperature, p is the complex growth rate and $w$ is the vertical velocity.
In equations (17)-(22), z is real independent variable such that $0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1, \mathrm{D}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dz}}$ is differentiation w.r.t $\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{a}^{2}$ is a constant, $\sigma>0$ is a constant, $\tau>0$ is a constant, $R_{T}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are positive constants for the Veronis' configuration and negative constants for Stern's configuration, $p=p_{r}+i p_{i}$ is complex constant in general such that $p_{r}$ and $p_{i}$ are real constants and as a consequence the dependent variables $w(z)=w_{r}(z)+i w_{i}(z), \theta(z)=\theta_{r}$ $(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{i} \theta_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})$ and $\phi(\mathrm{z})=\phi_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{i} \phi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})$ are complex valued functions(and their real and imaginary parts are real valued).
We now introduce the transformations

$$
\tilde{w}=\left(S_{T}+B\right) w \quad \tilde{\theta}=E \theta+F \phi
$$

$\tilde{\phi}=S_{T} \theta+B \phi$
where
$\mathrm{B}=-\frac{1}{\tau} A, \mathrm{E}=\frac{S_{T}+B}{D_{T}+A} A, \mathrm{~F}=\frac{S_{T}+B}{D_{T}+A} D_{T}$
and A is a positive root of the equation $A^{2}+(\tau-1) A-\tau S_{T} D_{T}=0$.
The system of equations (17)-(22) upon using the transformation (23) assumes the following form:
$\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(D^{2}-a^{2}-\frac{p}{\sigma}\right) w=R_{T}{ }^{\prime} a^{2} \theta-R_{S}{ }^{\prime} a^{2} \phi$
$\left(k_{1}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)-p\right) \theta=-w$,
$\left(k_{2}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)-\frac{p}{\tau}\right) \phi=-\frac{w}{\tau}$
with
$w=0=\theta=\phi=D w$ at $\mathrm{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=1$
or
$w=0=\theta=\phi=D^{2} w \quad$ at $\mathrm{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=1$
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=0=\theta=\phi=D w \quad \text { at } \quad \mathrm{z}=0  \tag{28}\\
& w=0=\theta=\phi=D^{2} w \tag{29}
\end{align*} \quad \text { at } \quad \mathrm{z}=1 .
$$

where
$k_{1}=1+\frac{\tau D_{T} S_{T}}{A}, k_{2}=1-\frac{S_{T} D_{T}}{A}$ are positive
cons $\tan t s$
and $R_{T}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(D_{T}+A\right)\left(R_{T} B+R_{S} S_{T}\right)}{B A-S_{T} D_{T}}$,
$R_{S}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(S_{T}+B\right)\left(R_{S} A+R_{T} D_{T)}\right.}{B A-S_{T} D_{T}}$ are respectively
the modified thermal Rayleigh number and the modified concentration Rayleigh number.

Theorem2. If $(p, w, \theta, \phi), p=p_{r}+i p_{i} p_{r} \geq 0, p_{i} \neq 0$ is a solution of equations (24)-(29), then

$$
|p|^{2}<R_{S}^{\prime} \sigma
$$

Proof: Since $p_{i} \neq 0$ we write equations (24)-(26) in the following convenient forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right) & \left(D^{2}-a^{2}-\frac{p}{\sigma}\right) w-R_{T}^{\prime} a^{2} \theta+ \\
& +R_{S}^{\prime} a^{2}\left[\frac{\tau k_{2}}{p}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right) \phi+\frac{w}{p}\right]=0 \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

(28)
$-R_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime} a^{2}\left\{\left(k_{1}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)-p\right) \theta+w\right\}=-w$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right) \tau^{2} a^{2} k_{2}}{p^{*}}\left\{\left(k_{2}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)-\frac{p}{\tau}\right) \phi+\frac{w}{\tau}\right\}  \tag{32}\\
=-\frac{w}{\tau}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (1) reduces to the above equations with

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\tau^{2}}{p *} R_{S}^{\prime} a^{2} k_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$B=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left(2 a^{2}+\frac{p}{\sigma}\right) & 0 & \frac{\tau R_{S}^{\prime}}{p} a^{2} k_{2} \\
0 & -R_{T}^{\prime} a^{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\tau R_{S}^{\prime}}{p^{*}} a^{2} k_{2} & 0 & -\frac{\tau R_{S}^{\prime}}{p^{*}} a^{2} k_{2} \\
& & \left(2 a^{2}+\frac{p}{\sigma}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathrm{C}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{4}+\frac{p a^{2}}{\sigma}+\frac{R_{S}^{\prime}}{p} a^{2} & -R_{T}^{\prime} a^{2} & -\frac{\tau R_{S}^{\prime}}{p} a^{4} k_{2} \\
-R_{T}^{\prime} a^{2} & R_{T}^{\prime} a^{2}\left(a^{2} k_{1}+p\right) & 0 \\
\frac{\tau R_{S}^{\prime}}{p^{*}} a^{4} k_{2} & 0 & \frac{\tau^{2} R_{S}^{\prime}}{p^{*}} a^{2} k_{2} \\
\left(a^{4} k_{2}+\frac{p a^{2}}{\tau}\right)
\end{array}\right], ~\left[\begin{array}{c}
w(z) \\
\theta(z) \\
\phi(z)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Further, boundary conditions on $\chi$ confirms to those of $w, \theta$ and $\phi$. Also

$$
A_{1}=p_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\tau^{2}}{|p|^{2}} R_{S}^{\prime} a^{2} k_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$B_{1}=$

$$
-p_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\sigma} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2 \tau^{2}}{|p|^{2}} R_{S}^{\prime} a^{2}\left(a^{2}+\frac{p_{r}}{\tau}\right) k_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$C_{1}=$

$$
-p_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{R_{S}^{\prime}}{|p|^{2}}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -R_{T}^{\prime} a^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{\tau^{2}}{|p|^{2}} R_{S}^{\prime} a^{4} k_{2} \\
& & \left(a^{2} k_{2}+\frac{2 p_{r}}{\tau}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, with $=p_{i}$, conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and hence

$$
|p|^{2}<R_{S}^{\prime} \sigma
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.
(b) Double-Diffusive Convection Coupled with Cross-diffusions for Stern's type configuration

The governing equations and boundary conditions of this problem under Boussinesq approximation are given by equations (24) - (29) with

$$
R_{S}^{\prime}=-\widehat{R_{S}^{\prime}}, \quad R_{T}^{\prime}=-\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}
$$

where $R_{S}^{\prime}>0, R_{T}^{\prime}>0$ (Stern [9]).
Theorem 3: If $(p, w, \theta, \phi), p=p_{r}+i p_{i}, p_{r} \geq 0, p_{i} \neq 0$ is a solution of equations (24)-(29), then $|p|^{2}<-R_{T}^{\prime} \sigma$.
Proof: Since $p_{i} \neq 0$, we write governing equations for the present problem in the following convenient forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(D^{2}-a^{2}-\frac{p}{\sigma}\right) w+ \\
& \hat{R}_{T}^{\prime} a^{2}\left[\frac{k_{1}}{p}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right) \theta+\frac{w}{p}\right]-\hat{R}_{S}^{\prime} a^{2} \phi=0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

(28)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{R}_{T}^{\prime} \frac{a^{2} k_{1}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{p^{*}}\left[\left\langle k_{1}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)-p\right\rangle \theta+w\right] \\
=0  \tag{34}\\
-\tau \hat{R}_{S}^{\prime} a^{2}\left[\left(k_{2}\left(D^{2}-a^{2}\right)-\frac{p}{\tau}\right) \phi+\frac{w}{\tau}\right]=0
\end{array}
$$

(35)

Equation (1) reduces to the above equations with

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \widehat{0} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{P^{*}} a^{2} k_{1}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathrm{B}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left(2 a^{2}+\frac{p}{\sigma}\right) & \frac{\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{p} a^{2} k_{1} & 0 \\
\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}} \\
p^{*} & a^{2} k_{1} & \widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}} a^{2} \frac{k_{1}}{p^{*}}\left(2 a^{2} k_{1}+p\right) \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & -\tau \widehat{R_{S}^{\prime}} \\
a^{2} k_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathrm{C}=$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{4}+\frac{p a^{2}}{\sigma}+\frac{\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{p} a^{2} & \frac{\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{p} a^{2} k_{1} & -\widehat{R_{S}^{\prime}} a^{2} \\
-\frac{R_{T}^{\prime}}{p^{*}} a^{2} k_{1} & \frac{\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{p^{*}} a^{4} k_{1}\left(a^{2} k_{1}+p\right) & 0 \\
-\widehat{R_{S}^{\prime}} a^{2} & 0 & \tau \widehat{R_{S}^{\prime}} a^{2} \\
\chi(z)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
w \\
k_{2}+\frac{p}{\tau}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]} \\
\theta(z) \\
\phi(z)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Further, boundary conditions on $\chi$ confirms to those of $w, \theta$ and $\phi$. Also

$$
A_{1}=p_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{|p|^{2}} a^{2} k_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$B_{1}=-p_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{\sigma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2 \widehat{R_{T}^{\prime}}}{|p|^{2}} a^{2} k_{1}\left(a^{2} k_{1}+p_{r}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$


Now, with $l=p_{i}$, conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and hence

$$
|\mathrm{p}|^{2}<-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} \sigma
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.
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